

Faunistic diversity of spiders (Araneae) in Peechi-Vazhani Wildlife Sanctuary, Kerala, India

S. Aswathy^{*1,3}, Aneesh V. Mathew², K. Karthika³, Nishi Babu⁴, Anusmitha Domichan^{1,3}, Mathew M. Joseph² and K. Sunil Jose³

¹Department of Zoology, Sacred Heart College, Thevara, Kochi 682013, Kerala India. ²Division of Arachnology, Department of Zoology, Sacred Heart College, Thevara, Kochi 682013, Kerala, India. ³Arachnology Lab, Department of Zoology, Deva Matha College, Kuravilangad, Kottayam 686633, Kerala, India. ⁴Department of Zoology, University of Kerala, Kariavattom 695581, Kerala, India. Email: aswathysankarsingh@gmail.com; pseudoscorpion.aneesh@gmail.com; krkkarthikak@gmail.com; anusmithadomichan8@gmail.com; nishibabu510@gmail.com; mathewmj@gmail.com; sunil32@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: The study describes the identification of the spider assemblages with respect to their diversity within the Peechi-Vazhani Wildlife Sanctuary. A total of 106 species, from 24 families were recorded from the area, which forms baseline information of spiders of the sanctuary. Families showed varying degrees of habitat fidelity with some being abundant while others rare. Amongst these, Salticidae, Araneidae, Oxyopidae and Lycosidae were to have more species in the area. However, analyses of functional groups, e.g., ground runners (29%) showed the positive influence of structural complexity of the habitat. The presence of different species in all habitats highlights the importance of conserving a wide array of representative habitats within ecosystems.

KEYWORDS: Identification, guild structure, functional groups, Western Ghats

INTRODUCTION

The Western Ghats region's exceptional biological richness and endemism are inherent in its inclusion among the 34 global hotspots. Although protected areas (PAs) are the most effective strategy to conserve biodiversity (Terborgh *et al.*, 2002), it is becoming increasingly recognized that they are insufficient to conserve tropical biodiversity in the long run (Rosenzweig, 2003). In the past,

invertebrates were mostly overlooked when it came to conservation, and were only saved as a result of existing parks and reserves (DeWet and Schoonbee, 1991). Spiders are extremely varied arthropods, with 49,932 species classified into 4,239 taxa and 130 families worldwide. There are 1,897 species of spiders in India, divided into 488 genera and 60 families (World Spider Catalog, 2022). A comprehensive pioneering study was carried out on the alpha diversity of spider fauna in Peechi-

^{*} Author for correspondence

^{© 2022} Association for Advancement of Entomology

Vazhani Wildlife Sanctuary and to provide species database to the Forest Department for developing a conservation action.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

Peechi-Vazhani Wildlife Sanctuary (P-VWS) situated in Thrissur district, Kerala state (76° 18' and 76°28' E; 10° 28' and 10° 38' N) extending about 125 km². On the east, it is bounded by the Chimmini Wildlife Sanctuary, while on the north, it is flanked by the forests of the Palakkad division (Fig. 1). The sanctuary, which is located at 45-900m, receives 3000mm of annual precipitation. According to Champion and Seth (1986), the sanctuary's forest type is moist deciduous forest (almost 80%), followed by evergreen and semievergreen forest (15%), and teak and softwood plantations (5%). Erythrina indica, Eugenia hemispheria, Dalbergia latifolia, Palanquium ellipticum, Terminalia tomentosa, Mesua ferrea, Cullenia excelsa, Cedrella toona, Bombax ceiba, Syzygium cumini, Largerstroemial anceolata, Adina cordifolia, Albizia procera, Alstonia scholaris and Xylia xylocarpa are the common tree species. The lower canopy includes, Ixora spp., Clerodendrum sp. and Lantana camara.

Sampling

The study was carried out during April 2021. Study sites included Vellani, Vazhani, Vallikayam and Olakkara sections of the sanctuary (Fig. 2). Spiders were actively searched from different microhabitats such as ground, litter, undergrowth, bushes, tree trunks, foliage, and water bodies. A visual search technique using a line transect was used to make collections. The handpicking and beating method were mostly used for collection. Smaller spiders were captured by pushing them into alcohol-filled tubes using a brush soaked in alcohol. Holding the jar open beneath the spiders and tapping them into it with the lid, spiders found on leaf blades, tree trunks, and webs were captured in the container. Running and wandering species, like lycosids, were explored among leaf litter, under surface of logs, rocks, and plant surfaces and captured and transferred them to the jars (Sebastian and Peter, 2009). When a spider was noted, it was photographed and collected using Tikader's (1987) recommended handpicking approach. The specimens were preserved in ethanol (70%) and deposited in the collection of spiders, Arachnologylab, Deva Matha College, Kuravilangad (DMCK). Nomenclature follows the World Spider Catalog (2022). Adult males and females were identified up to species level while immature spiders were identified up to generic level.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The spider diversity of Peechi-Vazhani Wildlife Sanctuary is found to be rich. Spiders representing 106 species coming under 68 genera and 24 families were recorded from the Sanctuary (Table 1, Plate 1 - 3). Among the twentyfour families, Salticidae (24 genera and 29 species) dominated in terms of spider diversityfollowed by Araneidae (5 genera and 19 species), Oxyopidae (4 genera and 12 species) and Lycosidae (4 genera, 5 species). Greater the variety of habitat type's available, larger is the diversity (Ried Miller 1989; Sudhikumar et al., 2005; Siliwal and Molur, 2007; Adarsh and Nameer, 2015; Caleb, 2020). According to studies, habitat complexity and species richness are correlated, which shows that structurally more complex plants can support a greater variety of spider communities (Uetz,1991). Salticidae or jumping spiders, are masters of camouflage and can coexist with their surroundings which may be the probable reason for their dominance in the nature. The abundance of various spider families in terms of individual numbers, which prominently reflects Salticidae and Araneidae as more abundant through a less diverse family in comparison to Cheiracanthiidae, Ctenidae, Hersiliidae, Clubionidae, Linyphiidae, Liocranidae, Mimetidae, Philodromidae, Pholcidae, Pisauridae, Theraphosidae, Scytodidae and Zodaridae.

The spiders of Peechi-Vazhani Wildlife Sanctuary can be divided into eight feeding guilds based on the foraging behaviour (Uetz *et al.*, 1999). They are the orb weavers, stalkers, ground runners, foliagerunners, foliage hunters, sheet web builders, scattered line weavers and ambushers (Table 1).

	Family: Araneidae Clerck, 1757	27.	Scotophaeus sp (Simon, 1893)	
1.	Gasteracantha geminata (Fabricius, 1798)	28.	28. <i>Gnaphosid</i> sp (Pocock, 1898)	
2.	Cyclosa spirifera (Simon, 1889)		Family: Hersiliidae Thorell, 1870	
3.	Cyclosa sp 2 (Menge, 1866)	29. Hersilia sp (Audouin, 1826)		
4.	Neoscona mukerjei (Tikader, 1980)		Family: Linyphiidae Blackwall, 1859	
5.	Araneid sp (Clerck, 1757)	30.	Oeodothorax sp (Bertkau, 1883)	
6.	Eriovixia sp 2 (Archer, 1951)		Family: Liocranidae Simon, 1897	
7.	Cyclosa sp (Menge, 1866)	31.	Oedignatha binoyii (Reddy & Patel, 1993)	
8.	Eriovixia laglaizei (Simon, 1877)		Family: Lycosidae Sundevall, 1833	
9.	Cyclosa sp (Menge, 1866)	32.	Draposa sp (Kronestedt, 2010)	
10.	Gasteracantha sp (Sundevall, 1833)	33.	Lycosa sp (Latreille, 1804)	
11.	Gasteracantha kuhli (C. L. Koch, 1837)	34.	Hippasa agelenoides (Simon, 1884)	
12.	Araneid sp (Clerck, 1757)	35.	Pardosa pseudoannulata (Bösenberg & Strand,	
13.	Neoscona sp male (Simon, 1864)		1906)	
14.	Neoscona sp1 (Simon, 1864)	36.	Pardosa sp (C. L. Koch, 1847)	
15.	Neoscona sp 2 (Simon, 1864)		Family: Mimetidae Simon, 1881	
16.	Neoscona sp 3 (Simon, 1864)	37.	Mimetidae sp (Simon, 1881)	
17.	Argiope pulchella (Thorell, 1881)		Family: Oxyopidae Thorell, 1870	
18.	Neoscona sp (Simon, 1864)	38.	Oxyopes shweta (Tikader, 1970)	
19.	Gasteracantha sp (Sundevall, 1833)	39.	O. sunandae (Tikader, 1970)	
	Family: Cheiracanthiidae Wagner, 1887	40.	Hamadraus sp (Deeleman-Reinhold, 2009)	
20.	Cheiracanthium sp (C. L. Koch, 1839)	41.	Hamataliwa sp1 (Keyserling, 1887)	
	Family: Clubionidae Wagner, 1887	42.	Oxyopes javanus (Thorell, 1887)	
21.	Clubiona sp1 (Latreille, 1804)	43.	O. forcipiformis (Xie& Kim, 1996)	
22.	Clubiona sp 2 (Latreille, 1804	44.	Oxyopes sp1 (Latreille, 1804)	
	Family: CorinnidaeKarsch, 1880	45.	Hamataliwa sp 2 (Keyserling, 1887)	
23.	Cambalida sp (Simon, 1909)	46.	Peucetia viridans (Hentz, 1832)	
24.	Castianeria sp (Keyserling, 1879)	47.	Oxyopes sp 2 (Latreille, 1804)	
25.	Cambalida deorsa (Murthappa, Prajapati,	48.	Hamataliwa pentagona (Tang & Li, 2012	
	Sankaran & Sebastian, 2016)	49.	Oxyopes birmanicus (Thorell, 1887)	
	Family: Ctenidae Keyserling, 1877		Family: Philodromidae Thorell, 1870	
26.	Ctenus cochinensis (Gravely, 1931)	50.	Philodromidae sp (Thorell, 1870)	
	Family: Gnaphosidae Pocock, 1898		Family: Pholcidae C. L. Koch, 1850	

Table 1. Checklist of spiders of Peechi-Vazhani Wildlife Sanctuary, Kerala

51.	Pholcus sp1 (Walckenaer, 1805)	81.	Salticid sp (Blackwall, 1841)	
52.	Pholcus sp 2 (Walckenaer, 1805)	82.	Chalcotropis sp (Simon, 1902)	
	Family Pisauridae Simon, 1890	83.	Telamonia dimitata (male) (Simon, 1899)	
53.	Dendrolycosa sp1 (Doleschall, 1859)	84.	84. Telamonia dimitata (female) (Simon, 1899)	
54.	Dendrolycosa sp 2 (Doleschall, 1859)		Family Scytodidae Blackwall, 1864	
	Family: Salticidae Blackwall, 1841	85.	Scytodes thoracica (Latreille, 1802)	
55.	Tamigalesus munnaricus (⁻ abka, 1988)	Family: Sparassidae Bertkau, 1872		
56.	Epeus indicus (Prószyñski, 1992)	86. Olios milleti (Pocock, 1901)		
57.	<i>Stenaelurillus albus</i> (Sebastian, Sankaran, Malamel & Joseph, 2015)	87.	Heteropoda venetoria (Linnaeus, 1767)	
58.	Plexippus paykulli (Audouin, 1826)	88.	Heteropoda sp. (Latreille, 1804)	
59.	Epocilla xaurantiaca (Simon, 1885)		Family: Tetragnathidae Menge, 1866	
60.	Brettus cingulatus (Thorell, 1895)	89.	89. Leucagede corata (Blackwall, 1864)	
61.	Salticid sp (Blackwall, 1841)	90.	90. Tetragnatha keyserlingi (Simon, 1890)	
62.	Hyllus semicupreus (Simon, 1885)	91.	Tetragnatha sp (Latreille, 1804)	
63.	Cyrba ocellata (Kroneberg, 1875)	92.	. Leucauge fastigata (Simon, 1877)	
64.	Hasarius adansoni (Audouin, 1826)	93.	Tetragnatha sp1 (Latreille, 1804)	
65.	Epeus sp (Prószyñski, 1992)		Family: Theridiidae Sundevall, 1833	
66.	Habrocestum sp1 (Simon, 1876)	94.	Parasteatoda celsabdomina (Zhu, 1998)	
67.	Salticid sp (Blackwall, 1841)	95.	95. Molione sp (Thorell, 1892)	
68.	Asemonea tenuipes (O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1869)	96.	6. <i>Therididae</i> sp1 (Sundevall, 1833)	
69.	Myrmaplata plataleoides (O. Pickard Cambridge,	97.	Therididae sp 2 (Sundevall, 1833)	
70	1809)		Family: Theraphosidae Thorell, 1869	
70.	$E_{\text{charged}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + $	98.	Annandaliella travancorica (Hirst, 1909)	
/1.	& Sebastian, 2019)		Family: Thomisidae Sundevall, 1833	
72.	Lyssomanes sp (Hentz, 1845)	99.	. Tmarus sp (Simon 1875)	
73.	Salticid sp (Blackwall, 1841)	100.	Thomisus projectus (Tikader, 1960)	
74.	Rhene flavigera (C. L. Koch, 1846)	101.	Camaricus formosus (Thorell, 1887)	
75.	Indopadilla insularis (male) (Malamel, Sankaran & Sebastian, 2015)	102.	Indoxysticus minutus (Tang, Yin & Peng, 2005)	
76.	<i>Indopadilla insularis</i> (female) (Malamel, Sankaran & Sebastian, 2015)		Family Uloboridae Thorell, 1869	
		103.	Miagrammopes sp (O.Pickard-Cambridge, 1870)	
77.	Epeus sp (G. W. Peckham & E. G. Peckham, 1886)	104.	Uloborus sp (Latreille, 1806)	
78.	Rhene flavicomans (Simon, 1902)	105.	Miagrammopes sp1 (O.Pickard-Cambridge, 1870)	
79.	Phintella vittata (C.L. Koch, 1846)		Family: Zodariidae Thorell, 1881	
80.	Telemonia sp (Thorell, 1887)	106.	Zodariidae sp (Thorell, 1881)	

No.	Family	Genera	Species	Guild
1.	Araneidae	5	19	Orb weavers
2.	Cheiracanthiidae	1	1	Foliage hunters
3.	Clubionidae	1	2	Foliage runners
4.	Corinnidae	3	3	Ground runners
5.	Ctenidae	1	1	Ground runners
6.	Gnaphosidae	2	2	Ground runners
7.	Hersilidae	1	1	Ambushers
8.	Linyphiidae	1	1	Sheet web builders
9.	Liocranidae	1	1	Ground runners
10.	Lycosidae	4	5	Ground runners
11.	Mimetidae	1	1	Stalkers
12.	Oxyopidae	4	12	Stalkers
13.	Philodromidae	1	1	Ambushers
14.	Pholcidae	1	2	Scattered line weavers
15.	Pisauridae	1	2	Foliage hunters
16.	Salticidae	24	29	Stalkers
17.	Scytodidae	1	1	Foliage hunters
18.	Sparassidae	2	3	Foliage runners
19.	Tetragnathidae	3	5	Orb weavers
20.	Theraphosidae	1	1	Ground runners
21.	Theridiidae	3	4	Scattered line weavers
22.	Thomisidae	4	5	Ambushers
23.	Uloboridae	2	3	Orb web weavers
24.	Zodaridae	1	1	Ground runners

 Table 2. Number of families, genera, species and functional guilds of spiders in Peechi-Vazhani

 Wildlife Sanctuary

S. Aswathy *et al*.



Fig. 1 Location map of Peechi Vazhani Wildlife Sanctuary, southern Western Ghats



Fig. 2 Sample sites of Peechi - Vazhani Wildlife Sanctuary, Trissur, Kerala





Gasteracantha dalyi

Dendrolycosa robusta

Ctenus indicus

S. Aswathy et al.

Plate 2





Gasteracantha geminata



Thomisus sp.





Nephilengys malabarensis



Hyllus semicupreus

Oxyopes sp.



Leucauge fastigata

Plate 3



Hersilia sp.1

Hersilia sp.2

Wadicosa sp.

Ground runners (29%) constitute the dominant feeding guild and are followed by stalkers (13%), ambushers (13%), foliage hunters (13%), orb weavers (12%), foliage runner (8%), scattered line weavers (8%) and sheet web builders (4%). The most probable reason for the observed pattern of spider guilds is structural diversity, micro environment, or the degree of habitat disturbance. The composition of guilds can shed information on the effect of habitat modification and disturbances on arthropod diversity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors sincerely thank the Principal of Deva Matha College at Kuravilangad, Kottayam and the Principal of Sacred Heart College, Thevara, Kochi for providing facilities to carry out the study. Authors extend special thanks to the Wildlife Warden and Asst. Wildlife Warden Peechi Wildlife Sanctuary. Kind cooperation of Mr. Manoj Balakrishnan (Wildlife Assistant) and Mr. Bibin Paul M. (Conservation Biologist) is gratefully acknowledged for their immense support through out the study. The authors thank for the support of Dr. Sumesh N.V. (Department of Zoology, Christ College (Autonomous), Irinjalakuda) and Dr. John Caleb, Entomology Research Institute, Loyola College (Autonomous), Chennai. The authors are grateful to the Western Ghats Society of Arachnology.

REFERENCES

Adarsh C.K. and Nameer P.O. (2015) Spiders of Kerala Agricultural University Campus, Thrissur, Kerala, India. Journal of Threatened Taxa 7(15): 8288– 8295. dx.doi.org/10.11609/jott.2468.7.15.8288

- Caleb J. T. D. (2020) Spiders (Arachnida:Araneae) from the vicinity of Araabath Lake, Chennai, India, Journal of Threatened Taxa 12(1): 15186–15193.
- DeWet J.L. and Schoonbee H.J. (1991) The occurrence and conservation status of *Ceratogyrus bechuanicus* and *C. brachycephalus* in theT ransvaal, SouthAfrica. Koedoe 34: 69–75
- Ried W.V. and Mille K.R. (1989) Keeping optionsalive: Ascientific basis for conserving biodiversity. Washington D.C., World Resources Institute, 2nd edition, Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data: 9-7
- Rosenzweig M.L. (2003) Reconciliation ecology and the future of species diversity. Ory 37: 194–205.
- Sebastian P.A. and Peter K.V. (2009) Spiders of India. Universities Press (India) Pvt. Ltd. 614 pp.
- Siliwal, M. and Molur S. (2007) Checklist of spiders (Arachnida: Araneae) of South Asia including the 2006 update of Indian spider checklist. Zoos' Print Journal 22(2): 2551–2597
- Sudhikumar A.V., Mathew M.J., Sunish E., Murugesan S. and Sebastian P.A. (2005) Preliminary studies on the spider fauna in Mannavan shola forest, Kerala, India (Araneae). European Arachnology (Suppl. No.1): 319–327pp.
- Terborgh J., van Schaik C., Davenport L. and Rao M. (2002) Making Parks Work: Strategies for Preserving Tropical Nature. Island Press, Washington, DC.
- Tikader B.K. (1987) Hand book of Indian Spiders. Calcutta, Zoological Survey of India. 251 pp.
- Uetz, G.W., J. Halaj and A.B. Cady (1999).Guild structure of spiders in major crops. Journal of Arachnology 27: 270–280.
- World Spider Catalog (2022) World Spider Catalog. Version 23.0. Natural History Museum Bern online at http://wsc.nmbe.ch, accessed on {13thMarch2022}. doi: 10.24436/2

(Received June 07, 2022; revised ms accepted August 20, 2022; published September 30, 2022)